STAT253/317 Lecture 3: Two key questions

1. As time goes to infinity, does the fraction of time spent in a given state converge? Mathematically, we aim to study

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}1\{X_i=k\}}{n}$$

2. As time goes to infinity, does the probability of being in a given state converge to a limit? This is given by

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}P_{ij}^{(n)}.$$

Stationary Distribution

Define $\pi_i^{(n)} = P(X_n = i)$, $i \in \mathfrak{X}$ to be the marginal distribution of X_n , n = 1, 2, ..., and let $\pi^{(n)}$ be the row vector

$$\pi^{(n)} = (\pi_0^{(n)}, \, \pi_1^{(n)}, \, \pi_2^{(n)}, \ldots),$$

From Chapman-Kolmogrov Equation, we know that

$$\pi^{(n)}=\pi^{(n-1)}\mathbb{P}$$
 i.e. $\pi^{(n)}_j=\sum_{i\in\mathfrak{X}}\pi^{(n-1)}_i\mathsf{P}_{ij}$ for all $j\in\mathfrak{X},$

If π is a distribution on $\mathfrak X$ satisfying

$$\pi \mathbb{P} = \pi$$
 i.e. $\pi_j = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{X}} \pi_i P_{ij}$ for all $j \in \mathfrak{X}$,

then $\pi^{(0)} = \pi$ implies $\pi^{(n)} = \pi$ for all n.

We say π is a **stationary distribution** of the Markov chain.

Example 1: 2-state Markov Chain

$$\mathfrak{X} = \{0, 1\}, \qquad \mathbb{P} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 - \alpha & \alpha \\ \beta & 1 - \beta \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\pi \mathbb{P} = \pi \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \pi_0 &= (1 - \alpha)\pi_0 + \beta\pi_1 \\ \pi_1 &= \alpha\pi_0 + (1 - \beta)\pi_1 \\ \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha\pi_0 &= \beta\pi_1 \\ \beta\pi_1 &= \alpha\pi_0 \end{cases}$$

Need one more constraint: $\pi_0 + \pi_1 = 1$

$$\Rightarrow \pi = (\pi_0, \pi_1) = \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}, \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}\right)$$

Example 2: Ehrenfest Diffusion Model with N Balls

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{N} & \text{if } j = i - 1\\ \frac{N - i}{N} & \text{if } j = i + 1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\pi_0 = \pi_1 P_{10} = \frac{1}{N} \pi_1 \Rightarrow \pi_1 = N \pi_0 = \binom{N}{1} \pi_0$$

$$\pi_1 = \pi_0 P_{01} + \pi_2 P_{21} = \pi_0 + \frac{2}{N} \pi_2 \Rightarrow \pi_2 = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \pi_0 = \binom{N}{2} \pi_0$$

$$\pi_2 = \pi_1 P_{12} + \pi_3 P_{32} = \frac{N-1}{N} \pi_1 + \frac{3}{N} \pi_3 \Rightarrow \pi_3 = \frac{N(N-1)(N-2)}{6} \pi_0 = \binom{N}{3} \pi_0$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$

In general, you'll get $\pi_i = {N \choose i} \pi_0$. As $1 = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \pi_i = \pi_0 \sum_{i=0}^{N} {N \choose i}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{N} {N \choose i} = 2^N$, we have $\pi_i = {N \choose i} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$.

Stationary Distribution May Not Be Unique

Consider a Markov chain with transition matrix ${\ensuremath{\mathbb P}}$ of the form

$$\mathbb{P} = \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & & & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & & & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & & & * & * & * \\ 3 & & & & & & & * \\ 4 & & & & & & & * & * \end{array} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{P}_{x} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{P}_{y} \end{pmatrix}$$

This Markov chain has 2 classes $\{0,1\}$ and $\{2, 3, 4\}$; both are recurrent. Note that this Markov chain can be reduced to two sub-Markov chains, one with state space $\{0,1\}$ and the other $\{2, 3, 4\}$. Their transition matrices are respectively \mathbb{P}_x and \mathbb{P}_y .

Say $\pi_x = (\pi_0, \pi_1)$ and $\pi_y = (\pi_2, \pi_3, \pi_4)$ be respectively the stationary distributions of the two sub-Markov chains, i.e.,

$$\pi_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{x}} = \pi_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad \pi_{\mathbf{y}}\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{y}} = \pi_{\mathbf{y}}$$

Verify that $\pi = (c\pi_0, c\pi_1, (1-c)\pi_2, (1-c)\pi_3, (1-c)\pi_4)$ is a stationary distribution of $\{X_n\}$ for any c between 0 and 1. Lecture 3 - 5

Not All Markov Chains Have a Stationary Distribution

For one-dimensional symmetric random walk, the transition probabilities are

$$P_{i,i+1} = P_{i,i-1} = 1/2$$

The stationary distribution $\{\pi_j\}$ would satisfy the equation:

$$\pi_j = \sum_{i \in \mathfrak{X}} \pi_i P_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \pi_{j-1} + \frac{1}{2} \pi_{j+1}.$$

Once π_0 and π_1 are determined, all π_j 's can be determined from the equations as

$$\pi_j = \pi_0 + (\pi_1 - \pi_0)j,$$
 for all integer j .

As $\pi_j \ge 0$ for all integer j, $\Rightarrow \pi_1 = \pi_0$. Thus

 $\pi_i = \pi_0$ for all integer j

Impossible to make $\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \pi_j = 1$.

Conclusion: 1-dim symmetric random walk does not have a stationary distribution.

Limiting Distribution

A probability distribution $\pi = [\pi_0, \pi_1, \pi_2, ...]$ is called the limiting distribution of a Markov chain X_n if for all $i, j \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$\pi_j = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{P}_{ij}^{(n)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{P}(X_n = j \mid X_0 = i)$$

Matrix version

i.e.,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_0 & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \pi_3 & \cdots \\ \pi_0 & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \pi_3 & \cdots \\ \pi_0 & \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \pi_3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: Two-State Markov Chain

$$\mathfrak{X} = \{0,1\}, \qquad \mathbb{P} = egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \ 1-lpha & lpha \ eta & 1-eta \end{pmatrix}$$

By induction, one can show that

$$\mathbb{P}^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} (1-\alpha-\beta)^n & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} (1-\alpha-\beta)^n \\ \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} (1-\alpha-\beta)^n & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} (1-\alpha-\beta)^n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \\ \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta} & \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta} \end{pmatrix} \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

The limiting distribution π is $\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}, \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}\right)$.

Limiting Distribution is a Stationary Distribution

The limiting distribution of a Markov chain is a stationary distribution of the Markov chain.

Proof (not rigorous). By Chapman Kolmogorov Equation,

$$P_{ij}^{(n+1)} = \sum\nolimits_{k \in \mathfrak{X}} P_{ik}^{(n)} P_{kj}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$\pi_{j} = \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{ij}^{(n+1)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{X}} P_{ik}^{(n)} P_{kj}$$
$$=^{*} \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{X}} \lim_{n \to \infty} P_{ik}^{(n)} P_{kj} \quad (\text{needs justification})$$
$$= \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{X}} \pi_{k} P_{kj}$$

Thus the limiting distribution π_j 's satisfies the equations $\pi_j = \sum_{k \in \mathfrak{X}} \pi_k P_{kj}$ for all $j \in \mathfrak{X}$ and is a stationary distribution. See Karlin & Taylor (1975), Theorem 1.3 on p.85-86 for a rigorous proof. Lecture 3 - 9

Not All Markov Chains Have Limiting Distributions

Consider the simple random walk X_n on $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with absorbing boundary at 0 and 4. That is,

$$X_{n+1} = \begin{cases} X_n + 1 & \text{with probability } 0.5 \text{ if } 0 < X_n < 4 \\ X_n - 1 & \text{with probability } 0.5 \text{ if } 0 < X_n < 4 \\ X_n & \text{if } X_n = 0 \text{ or } 4 \end{cases}$$

The transition matrix is hence

$$\mathbb{P} = \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

Not All Markov Chains Have Limiting Distributions

The *n*-step transition matrix of the simple random walk X_n on $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with absorbing boundary at 0 and 4 can by shown by induction using the Chapman-Kolmogorov Equation to be

Not All Markov Chains Have Limiting Distributions

The limit of the *n*-step transition matrix as $n \to \infty$ is

$$\mathbb{P}^{(n)} \rightarrow \begin{array}{cccccc} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0.75 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.25 \\ 0.75 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0.25 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0.75 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}$$

Though $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_{ij}^{(n)}$ exists but the limit depends on the initial state *i*, this Markov chain has no limiting distribution.

This Markov chain has two distinct absorbing states 0 and 4. Other transient states may be absorbed to either 0 or 4 with different probabilities depending how close those states are to 0 or 4.

When does a Markov chain have limiting distribution?

Periodicity

A state of a Markov chain is said to have period d if

$$P_{ii}^{(n)} = 0$$
, whenever *n* is not a multiple of *d*

In other words, d is the greatest common divisor of all the n's such that

$$P_{ii}^{(n)} > 0$$

We say a state is **aperiodic** if d = 1, and **periodic** if d > 1.

Fact: Periodicity is a class property. That is, all states in the same class have the same period. For a proof, see Problem 2&3 on p.77 of Karlin & Taylor (1975).

Examples (Periodicity)

- All states in the Ehrenfest diffusion model are of period d = 2 since it's impossible to move back to the initial state in odd number of steps.
- 1-D (2-D) Simple random walk on all integers (grids on a 2-d plane) are of period d = 2

Example (Periodicity)

Specify the classes of a Markov chain with the following transition matrix, and find the periodicity for each state.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
1	/ 0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0 \	١
2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	$5 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2$
3	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.5	0	$\uparrow \checkmark \uparrow \checkmark$
4	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	$4 \rightarrow 3$
5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	\downarrow
6	0	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.9	$7 \leftrightarrow 6$
7	0 /	0	0	0	0	0.7	0.3/	/

```
Classes: \{1,2,3,4,5\}, \{6,7\}.
Period is d = 1 for state 6 and 7.
Period is d = 3 for state 1,2,3,4,5 since
\{1\} \rightarrow \{2,4\} \rightarrow \{3,5\} \rightarrow \{1\}.
```

Periodic Markov Chains Have No Limiting Distributions

For example, in the Ehrenfest diffusion model with 4 balls, it can be shown by induction that the (2n - 1)-step transition matrix is

Periodic Markov Chains Have No Limiting Distributions

and the 2*n*-step transition matrix is

Periodic Markov Chains Have No Limiting Distributions

In general for Ehrenfest diffusion model with N balls, as $n \to \infty$,

$$P_{ij}^{(2n)} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 2\binom{N}{j} (\frac{1}{2})^N & \text{if } i+j \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } i+j \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$
$$P_{ij}^{(2n+1)} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i+j \text{ is even} \\ 2\binom{N}{j} (\frac{1}{2})^N & \text{if } i+j \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} P_{ij}^{(n)}$ doesn't exist for all $i,j\in\mathfrak{X}$

Summary

- Stationary distribution may not be unique if the Markov chain is not irreducible
- Stationary distribution may not exist
- ► A limiting distribution is always a stationary distribution
- If it exists, limiting distribution is unique
- Limiting distribution do not exist if the Markov chain is periodic