STAT 37797: Mathematics of Data Science

Autumn 2021

Homework 2

Due date: 11:59pm on Nov. 19th

You are allowed to drop 1 subproblem without penalty. But you cannot drop problems on simulation.

1. Concentration of Gaussian random variables (20 points)

a. (5 points) Let X be a standard normal random variable. Prove that

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| \ge t) \le 2\exp(-t^2/2).$$

b.(5 points) Let X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n be *n* i.i.d. standard normal random variables. Prove that with probability at least $1 - O(n^{-10})$, one has

$$\max_{1 \le i \le n} |X_i| \le 5\sqrt{\log n}.$$

c.(10 points) Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a random vector where each coordinate is an independent standard normal random variable. Using the the conclusion above, one can show that $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n \log n}$ with high probability. However, this falls short in two aspects. First, the upper bound on $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$ is not tight. Second, it doesn't provide a high-probability lower bound of $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$. In this part, prove that for all $t \in (0, 1)$, one has

$$\mathbb{P}(|||\boldsymbol{x}||_2^2 - n| \ge nt) \le 2\exp(-nt^2/8).$$

(Hint: Laplace transform method)

2. Norm of Gaussian random matrices (40 points)

Recall that in class, we have used the bound $||E|| \leq \sqrt{n}$ where $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is composed of i.i.d. standard normal random variables.

a.(10 points) Use matrix Bernstein's inequality to show that with high probability $||E|| \lesssim \sqrt{n \log n}$. (Hint: truncation)

As before, the bound proved in part (a) is off by a $\sqrt{\log n}$ factor. In the following, we will prove a tighter bound. Recall the definition of $||\mathbf{E}||$:

$$\|m{E}\| = \sup_{\|m{v}\|_2 = 1} \|m{E}m{v}\|_2$$

Hence it suffices to show that with high probability $\sup_{\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2=1} \|\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 \lesssim \sqrt{n}$.

b.(5 points) Let's first focus on a fixed vector $||v||_2 = 1$. Prove that for any fixed $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one has

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 \ge 10\sqrt{n}) \le 2\exp(-100n)$$

It is tempting to apply "union bound" and "obtain"

$$\mathbb{P}(\sup_{\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2=1} \|\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 \ge 10\sqrt{n}) \le \sum_{\|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2=1} \mathbb{P}(\|\boldsymbol{E}\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 \ge 10\sqrt{n}).$$

However this argument is ABSOLUTELY wrong as one cannot apply union bound to a uncountable set. Therefore, to properly apply union bound, one needs to restrict attention to a finite subset of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n that well approximates the unit sphere. This motivates the construction of the ε -net.

c.(10 points) Let $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ be a subset of $\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 = 1\}$ such that for any point \boldsymbol{v} in $\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_2 = 1\}$, one can find an element $\boldsymbol{u} \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v}\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$. In particular, set $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ be such a set with smallest cardinality. Prove that

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}| \le (1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon})^n,$$

where $|\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}|$ denotes the cardinality of $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$.

d.(5 points) Fix some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Prove that for any matrix $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

$$\|\boldsymbol{A}\| \leq rac{1}{1-arepsilon} \cdot \max_{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{N}_{arepsilon}} \|\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{v}\|_2.$$

This shows the usefulness of $\mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon}$ in terms of approximating $\|\mathbf{A}\|$.

e.(10 points) Combine the previous steps to show that with high probability $||E|| \leq \sqrt{n}$.

3. Matrix concentration in matrix completion (10 points) Consider the matrix completion problem introduced in class where $M^* = U^* \Sigma^* V^{*\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a rank-*r* matrix. Let μ be its incoherence parameter. Prove that with high probability

$$\|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{M}^{\star}\| \lesssim \sqrt{rac{\mu r \log n}{np}} \|\boldsymbol{M}^{\star}\|$$

as long as $np \ge C\mu r \log n$ for some sufficiently large constant C > 0.

4. Matrix completion experiments (20 points) Consider the matrix completion problem introduced in class. Let $M^* = U^* \Sigma^* V^{*\top}$ be the underlying groundtruth matrix. Here $U^*, V^* \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times r}$ are two independent random orthonormal matrices. For simplicity consider $\Sigma^* = I_r$. Let p be the observation probability for each entry. Let \hat{M} be the spectral estimate of the matrix M^* .

Fix n = 200, r = 5, and vary p from 0.2 to 1. Please report the relative Euclidean error $\frac{\|\hat{M} - M^*\|_{\rm F}}{\|M^*\|_{\rm F}}$ and the relative entrywise error $\frac{\|\hat{M} - M^*\|_{\infty}}{\|M^*\|_{\infty}}$ vs. the sampling probability p. Please choose at least 20 different p's and for each p, use at least 50 Monte-Carlo simulations.

5. Community detection experiments (30 points)

Consider the SBM model discussed in class, where $p = \alpha \log n/n$ and $q = \beta \log n/n$. Throughout this exercise, we will use the second eigenvector of the adjacency matrix A, which does not rely on the knowledge of either p or q.

a.(15 points) In the first part, we are going to investigate the phase transition behavior we discussed in class. Fix n = 300. Vary β from 0 to 10, and α from 0 to 30, with increments 0.1 and 0.3 respectively. For each α , β , run spectral method for 100 random trials and report the success rate plot. On the same plot, please also add the curves that correspond to $(\sqrt{\alpha} - \sqrt{\beta})^2 = 2$. You should be able to see a sharp transition in terms of success rate around these curves. b.(5 points) In the second part, we will take a closer look at the entrywise behavior of \hat{u}_2 —the second eigenvector of the adjacency matrix A. Fix $n = 5000, \alpha = 4.5, b = 0.25$. Check that based on our theory, spectral method should succeed in exact recovery with high probability for this configuration. To verify this, plot the histogram of the entries in $\sqrt{n}\hat{u}_2$. Are those uniformly close to ± 1 ?

c.(10 points) In class, to prove exact recovery, we actually compare \hat{u}_2 with the linearization Au_2^*/λ_2^* , instead of u_2^* . Here we investigate the reason underlying this. Use the same configuration as above, and run 100 Monte-Carlo simulations. Report the boxplots for $\sqrt{n} \|\hat{u}_2 - u_2^*\|_{\infty}$, $\sqrt{n} \|\hat{u}_2 - Au_2^*/\lambda_2^*\|_{\infty}$, and $\|Au_2^*/\lambda_2^* - u_2^*\|_{\infty}$. Which one is the smallest among the three?