STAT 37797: Mathematics of Data Science

Applications of spectral methods (ℓ_2 theory)



Cong Ma

University of Chicago, Winter 2024

- Classical ℓ_2 matrix perturbation theory:
 - Davis-Kahan's $\sin \Theta$ theorem
 - Wedin's $\sin \Theta$ theorem
 - Eigenvector perturbation of probability transition matrices
- Matrix concentration inequalities:
 - Matrix Bernstein inequality

- Classical ℓ_2 matrix perturbation theory:
 - Davis-Kahan's $\sin \Theta$ theorem
 - Wedin's $\sin \Theta$ theorem
 - Eigenvector perturbation of probability transition matrices
- Matrix concentration inequalities:
 - Matrix Bernstein inequality

— we will see their applications today

Outline

• Community recovery in stochastic block model

— application of Davis-Kahan's theorem

• Low-rank matrix completion

- application of Wedin's theorem

• Ranking from pairwise comparisons

- application of eigenvector perturbation of prob. transition matrix

Community recovery in stochastic block model

Stochastic block model (SBM)



 $x_i^{\star} = 1$: 1st community $x_i^{\star} = -1$: 2nd community

- $n \mod \{1, \dots, n\}$
- 2 communities
- n unknown variables: $x_1^{\star}, \ldots, x_n^{\star} \in \{1, -1\}$
 - \circ encode community memberships

Stochastic block model (SBM)



• observe a graph \mathcal{G} $(i,j) \in \mathcal{G}$ with prob. $\begin{cases} p, & \text{if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are from same community} \\ q, & \text{else} \end{cases}$

Here, p > q

• Goal: recover community memberships of all nodes, i.e., $\{x_i^{\star}\}$

Adjacency matrix



Consider the adjacency matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of \mathcal{G} : (assume $A_{ii} = p$)

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } (i,j) \in \mathcal{G} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

• WLOG, suppose $x_1^{\star} = \cdots = x_{n/2}^{\star} = 1$; $x_{n/2+1}^{\star} = \cdots = x_n^{\star} = -1$

Adjacency matrix



$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{A}] = \begin{bmatrix} p\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{\top} & q\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{\top} \\ q\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{\top} & p\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{\top} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\frac{p+q}{2}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{\top}}_{\text{uninformative bias}} + \underbrace{\frac{p-q}{2}}_{=\mathbf{x}^{*}=[x_{i}]_{1\leq i\leq n}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}^{\top}, -\mathbf{1}^{\top} \end{bmatrix}$$

Spectral clustering



- 1. computing the leading eigenvector $m{u} = [u_i]_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ of $m{A} rac{p+q}{2} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^ op$
- 2. rounding: output $x_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u_i \ge 0 \\ -1, & \text{if } u_i < 0 \end{cases}$

Consider "ground-truth" matrix

$$M^{\star} \coloneqq \mathbb{E}[A] - \frac{p+q}{2} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^{\top} = \frac{p-q}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ -\mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}^{\top} & -\mathbf{1}^{\top} \end{bmatrix},$$

which obeys

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{M}^{\star}) \coloneqq rac{(p-q)n}{2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{u}^{\star} \coloneqq rac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left[egin{array}{c} \mathbf{1}_{n/2} \\ -\mathbf{1}_{n/2} \end{array}
ight].$$

Also, we have perturbed matrix

$$\boldsymbol{M}\coloneqq \boldsymbol{A} - rac{p+q}{2} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^{ op}$$

Davis-Kahan implies if $\| oldsymbol{A} - \mathbb{E}[oldsymbol{A}] \| < \lambda_1(oldsymbol{M}^\star) = rac{(p-q)n}{2}$, then

$$\mathsf{dist}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}^{\star}) \leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{M}^{\star}\|}{\lambda_{1}(\boldsymbol{M}^{\star}) - \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{M}\|} = \frac{\|\boldsymbol{A} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{A}]\|}{\frac{(p-q)n}{2} - \|\boldsymbol{A} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{A}]\|}$$
(5.1)

Matrix Bernstein inequality tells us that

Lemma 5.1

Consider SBM with p > q and $p \gtrsim \frac{\log n}{n}$. Then with high prob.

$$\|\boldsymbol{A} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{A}]\| \lesssim \sqrt{np\log n}$$
 (5.2)

— better concentration yields \sqrt{np} bound

- with high probability in this course often means "with probability at least $1-O(n^{-8})$ "

Substitute ineq. (5.2) into ineq. (5.1) to reach

$$\mathsf{dist}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{u}^{\star}) \leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{A} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{A}]\|}{\frac{(p-q)n}{2} - \|\boldsymbol{A} - \mathbb{E}[\boldsymbol{A}]\|} \lesssim \frac{\sqrt{np\log n}}{(p-q)n} = o(1)$$

provided that $\sqrt{np\log n} = o((p-q)n)$

Now question is

- how to transfer from estimation error to mis-clustering error

From estimation error to mis-clustering error

WLOG assume that $\|m{u}-m{u}^\star\|_2 = \mathsf{dist}(m{u},m{u}^\star).$ Consider the set

$$\mathcal{N} \coloneqq \{i \mid |u_i - u_i^\star| \ge 1/\sqrt{n}\}$$

We claim that

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{1}\{x_i \neq x_i^{\star}\} \le \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbb{1}\{|u_i - u_i^{\star}| \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\} = \frac{|\mathcal{N}|}{n}$$

To see this, observe that for any i obeying $x_i \neq x_i^*$, one has $\operatorname{sgn}(u_i) \neq \operatorname{sgn}(u_i^*)$, thus indicating that $|u_i - u_i^*| \geq |u_i^*| = 1/\sqrt{n}$ In the end, we have

$$|\mathcal{N}| \le \frac{\|\boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}^{\star}\|_{2}^{2}}{(1/\sqrt{n})^{2}} = o(n)$$

Statistical accuracy of spectral clustering

$$rac{p-q}{\sqrt{p}} \gg \sqrt{rac{\log n}{n}} \implies ext{almost exact recovery}$$

• dense regime: if $p \asymp q \asymp 1$, then this condition reads

$$p-q \gg \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$$
 (extremely small gap)

• "sparse" regime: if $p = \frac{a \log n}{n}$ and $q = \frac{b \log n}{n}$ for $a, b \asymp 1$, then

$$a - b \gg \sqrt{a}$$

This condition is information-theoretically optimal (up to log factor) — Mossel, Neeman, Sly '15, Abbe '18

Proof of Lemma 5.2

We write $A - \mathbb{E}[A]$ as sum of independent random matrices $A - \mathbb{E}[A] = \sum_{i < j} (A_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}])(e_i e_j^\top + e_j e_i^\top)$

We only need to consider $A_{upper} \coloneqq \sum_{i < j} \underbrace{(A_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}[A_{i,j}]) e_i e_j^\top}_{=: X_{i,j}}$

• First,
$$\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}\| \leq 1 \Rightarrow B$$

• Since $\operatorname{Var}(A_{i,j}) \leq p$, one has $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X}_{i,j}\mathbf{X}_{i,j}^{\top}\right] \leq p\mathbf{e}_{i}\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\top}$, which gives $\sum_{i < j} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X}_{i,j}\mathbf{X}_{i,j}^{\top}\right] \leq \sum_{i < j} p\mathbf{e}_{i}\mathbf{e}_{i}^{\top} \leq np \mathbf{I}_{n}$ Similarly, $\sum_{i < j} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X}_{i,j}^{\top}\mathbf{X}_{i,j}\right] \leq np \mathbf{I}_{n}$. As a result, $v \coloneqq \max\left\{\left\|\sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X}_{i,j}\mathbf{X}_{i,j}^{\top}\right]\right\|, \left\|\sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{X}_{i,j}^{\top}\mathbf{X}_{i,j}\right]\right\|\right\} \leq np$

Take the matrix Bernstein inequality to conclude that with high prob.,

$$\begin{split} \| {\boldsymbol{A}} - \mathbb{E}[{\boldsymbol{A}}] \| \lesssim \sqrt{v \log n} + B \log n \lesssim \sqrt{np \log n} \\ & - \text{as long as } p \gtrsim \frac{\log n}{n} \end{split}$$

Low-rank matrix completion

Low-rank matrix completion



figure credit: Candès

- consider a low-rank matrix $M^{\star} = U^{\star} \Sigma^{\star} V^{\star op}$
- each entry $M_{i,j}^{\star}$ is observed independently with prob. p
- intermediate goal: estimate U^{\star}, V^{\star}

Spectral method for matrix completion

- 1. identify the key matrix M^{\star}
- 2. construct surrogate matrix $oldsymbol{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{n imes n}$ as

$$M_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} M_{i,j}^{\star}, & \text{if } M_{i,j}^{\star} \text{ is observed} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

 \circ rationale for rescaling: ensures $\mathbb{E}[M] = M^{\star}$

3. compute the rank-r SVD $U\Sigma V^{\top}$ of M, and return (U, Σ, V)

Let's analyze a simple case where $M^\star = u^\star v^{\star op}$ with

$$oldsymbol{u}^{\star} = rac{1}{\|oldsymbol{ ilde{u}}\|_2}oldsymbol{ ilde{u}}, \quad oldsymbol{v}^{\star} = rac{1}{\|oldsymbol{ ilde{v}}\|_2}oldsymbol{ ilde{v}}, \quad oldsymbol{ ilde{u}}, oldsymbol{ ilde{v}} \stackrel{ ext{indep.}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(oldsymbol{0}, oldsymbol{I}_n)$$

From Wedin's Theorem: if $\|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{M}^{\star}\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\sigma_1(\boldsymbol{M}^{\star}) = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\max\left\{\mathsf{dist}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{u}^{\star}),\mathsf{dist}(\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{v}^{\star})\right\} \lesssim \frac{\|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{M}^{\star}\|}{\sigma_{1}(\boldsymbol{M}^{\star})} \asymp \|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{M}^{\star}\| \quad (5.3)$$

Matrix Bernstein inequality tells us that

Lemma 5.2

Consider matrix completion with
$$p \gg \frac{\log^3 n}{n}$$
. Then with high prob.

$$\|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{M}^{\star}\| \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\log^3 n}{np}} = o(1)$$
(5.4)

For rank-1 matrix completion,

$$p \gg \frac{\log^3 n}{n} \implies$$
 nearly accurate estimates

Sample complexity needed to yield reliable spectral estimates is



- sub-optimal accuracy though

Proof of inequality (5.4)

Write $M - M^{\star} = \sum_{i,j} X_{i,j}$, where $X_{i,j} = (M_{i,j} - M_{i,j}^{\star}) e_i e_j^{\top}$

• First, based on Gaussianity, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}\| \le \frac{1}{p} \max_{i,j} |M_{i,j}^{\star}| \lesssim \frac{\log n}{pn} := B$$
 (check)

• Next, $\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{X}_{i,j}\mathbf{X}_{i,j}^{\top}] = \mathsf{Var}(M_{i,j})\mathbf{e}_i\mathbf{e}_i^{\top}$ and hence

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i,j} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}^{\top}\right] \preceq \left\{\max_{i,j} \mathsf{Var}(M_{i,j})\right\} n \boldsymbol{I} \preceq \left\{\frac{n}{p} \max_{i,j} (M_{i,j}^{\star})^{2}\right\} \boldsymbol{I}$$

$$\implies \qquad \left\|\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i,j} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}^{\top}\right]\right\| \leq \frac{n}{p} \max_{i,j} (M_{i,j}^{\star})^2 \lesssim \frac{\log^2 n}{np} \quad (\mathsf{check})$$

Similar bounds hold for $\|\mathbb{E}[\sum_{i,j} X_{i,j}^{\top} X_{i,j}]\|$. Therefore,

$$v := \max\left\{ \left\| \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i,j} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}^{\top}\right] \right\|, \left\| \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i,j} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}\right] \right\| \right\} \lesssim \frac{\log^2 n}{np}$$

Take the matrix Bernstein inequality to yield: if $p \gg (\log^3 n)/n$, then

$$\|\boldsymbol{M} - \boldsymbol{M}^{\star}\| \lesssim \sqrt{v \log n} + B \log n \asymp \sqrt{\frac{\log^3 n}{np}} \ll 1$$

Ranking from pairwise comparisons

Ranking from pairwise comparisons



figure credit: Bozóki, Csató, Temesi

Bradley-Terry-Luce (logistic) model



- n items to be ranked
- assign a latent positive score $\{w_i^\star\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ to each item, so that

item $i \succ$ item j if $w_i^{\star} > w_j^{\star}$

• each pair of items (i, j) is compared independently

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{ ext{item } j ext{ beats item } i
ight\} = rac{w_j^\star}{w_i^\star + w_j^\star}$$

Bradley-Terry-Luce (logistic) model



- n items to be ranked
- assign a latent positive score $\{w_i^\star\}_{1\leq i\leq n}$ to each item, so that

item
$$i \succ$$
 item j if $w_i^\star > w_j^\star$

• each pair of items (i, j) is compared independently

$$y_{i,j} \stackrel{\text{ind.}}{=} \begin{cases} 1, & \text{with prob.} \ \frac{w_j^{\star}}{w_i^{\star} + w_j^{\star}} \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

5 - 27

• intermediate goal: estimate score vector w^{\star} (up to scaling) Applications of spectral methods (ℓ_2 theory) 1. identify key matrix P^{\star} —probability transition matrix

$$P_{i,j}^{\star} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{w_j^{\star}}{w_i^{\star} + w_j^{\star}}, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 - \sum_{l:l \neq i} P_{i,l}^{\star}, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

Rationale:

 $\circ \ P^{\star}$ obeys

 $w_i^{\star} P_{i,j}^{\star} = w_j^{\star} P_{j,i}^{\star}$ (detailed balance)

 \circ Thus, the stationary distribution π^{\star} of P^{\star} obeys

$$oldsymbol{\pi}^{\star} = rac{1}{\sum_{l} w_{l}^{\star}} oldsymbol{w}^{\star}$$
 (reveals true scores)

2. construct a surrogate matrix \boldsymbol{P} obeying

$$P_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} y_{i,j}, & \text{if } i \neq j \\ 1 - \sum_{l:l \neq i} P_{i,l}, & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$

3. return leading left eigenvector π of P as score estimate

— closely related to PageRank

Apply our perturbation bound to see

$$\|\boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}} \leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star^{\top}}\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}}}{1 - \max\left\{\lambda_{2}(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star}), -\lambda_{n}(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star})\right\} - \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}}}$$

provided that

$$1 - \max\left\{\lambda_2(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star}), -\lambda_n(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star})\right\} - \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}} > 0$$
(5.5)

Apply our perturbation bound to see

$$\|\boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}} \leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star^{\top}}\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}}}{1 - \max\left\{\lambda_{2}(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star}), -\lambda_{n}(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star})\right\} - \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}}}$$

provided that

$$1 - \max\left\{\lambda_2(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star}), -\lambda_n(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star})\right\} - \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}} > 0$$
(5.5)

- need to understand spectral gap and noise size

Define condition number

$$\kappa \coloneqq \frac{\max_{1 \le i \le n} w_i^\star}{\min_{1 \le i \le n} w_i^\star}$$

Lemma 5.3

It follows that

$$1 - \max\left\{\lambda_2(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star}), -\lambda_n(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star})\right\} \geq \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}.$$

• We omit the proof; it's based on comparison between two reversible Markov chains

Recall that $E\coloneqq P-P^{\star}$

Lemma 5.4

With probability at least $1 - O(n^{-8})$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}} \leq \sqrt{\kappa} \, \|\boldsymbol{E}\| \lesssim \sqrt{\frac{\kappa \log n}{n}}.$$

Recall perturbation bound

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}} &\leq \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star^{\top}}\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}}}{1 - \max\left\{\lambda_{2}(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star}), -\lambda_{n}(\boldsymbol{P}^{\star})\right\} - \|\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}}} \\ &\leq 4\kappa^{2} \|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star^{\top}}\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}} \quad (\text{provided that } n \gg \kappa^{5} \log n) \end{aligned}$$

Note that for any v, one has

$$\|oldsymbol{v}\|_{oldsymbol{\pi}^\star} \leq \sqrt{\pi^\star_{ ext{max}}} \, \|oldsymbol{v}\|_2, \qquad ext{and} \qquad \|oldsymbol{v}\|_2 \leq rac{1}{\sqrt{\pi^\star_{ ext{min}}}} \, \|oldsymbol{v}\|_{oldsymbol{\pi}^\star}$$

As a result, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}\|_{2} &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi_{\min}^{\star}}} \|\boldsymbol{\pi} - \boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}} \leq \frac{4\kappa^{2}}{\sqrt{\pi_{\min}^{\star}}} \|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star\top}\boldsymbol{E}\|_{\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}} \\ &\leq 4\kappa^{2.5} \|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star\top}\boldsymbol{E}\|_{2} \leq 4\kappa^{2.5} \|\boldsymbol{E}\| \|\boldsymbol{\pi}^{\star}\|_{2} \end{aligned}$$

By construction of \boldsymbol{P} and \boldsymbol{P}^{\star} , we see that

$$E_{i,j} = P_{i,j} - P_{i,j}^{\star} = \frac{1}{n} (y_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}[y_{i,j}])$$
(5.6)

for any $i\neq j.$ In addition, for all $1\leq i\leq n,$ it follows that

$$E_{i,i} = P_{i,i} - P_{i,i}^{\star} = -\sum_{j:j \neq i} E_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j:j \neq i} (y_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}[y_{i,j}]).$$
(5.7)

We shall decompose the matrix E into three parts: upper triangular part, diagonal part, and lower triangular part:

$$\|E\| \le \|E_{upper}\| + \|E_{diag}\| + \|E_{lower}\|$$
 (5.8)

— we will upper bound $\|E_{\mathsf{upper}}\|$

Note that

$$\|\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathsf{diag}}\| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} |E_{i,i}| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \frac{1}{n} \Big| \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{j:j \ne i \\ =:X_j}} (y_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}[y_{i,j}])}_{=:X_j} \Big|$$

- First, we have $|X_j| \leq 1 \Rightarrow B$
- Second, one has

$$\sum_{j: j \neq i} \mathbb{E}[X_j^2] = \sum_{j: j \neq i} \mathsf{Var}(y_{i,j}) \le n \eqqcolon v$$

By Bernstein's inequality and union bound, we have w.h.p.

$$\max_{i} |E_{i,i}| \lesssim \frac{1}{n} \cdot (\sqrt{v \log n} + B \log n) \asymp \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$$

First of all, we have

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathsf{upper}} = \sum_{i < j} E_{i,j} \boldsymbol{e}_i \boldsymbol{e}_j^\top = \sum_{i < j} \underbrace{\frac{1}{n} (y_{i,j} - \mathbb{E}[y_{i,j}]) \boldsymbol{e}_i \boldsymbol{e}_j^\top}_{=: \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}}$$

Then

•
$$\|\boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}\| \leq \frac{1}{n} \eqqcolon B$$

• Since $\operatorname{Var}(y_{i,j}) \leq 1$, one has $\mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}\boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}^{\top}\right] \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \boldsymbol{e}_i \boldsymbol{e}_i^{\top}$, which gives

$$\sum_{i < j} \mathbb{E}\left[oldsymbol{X}_{i,j} oldsymbol{X}_{i,j}^{ op}
ight] ee \sum_{i < j} rac{1}{n^2} oldsymbol{e}_i oldsymbol{e}_i^{ op} ee rac{1}{n} oldsymbol{I}_n$$

Similarly, $\sum_{i < j} \mathbb{E} \left[\boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j} \right] \preceq \frac{1}{n} \boldsymbol{I}_n$. As a result,

$$v \coloneqq \max\left\{ \left\| \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{X}_{i,j} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}^{\top} \right] \right\|, \left\| \sum_{i,j} \mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{X}_{i,j}^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}_{i,j} \right] \right\| \right\} \le \frac{1}{n}$$

Invoke matrix Bernstein to obtain

$$\|\boldsymbol{E}_{\mathsf{upper}}\| \lesssim \sqrt{v \log n} + B \log n \asymp \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$$

— same bound holds for $\|E_{\mathsf{lower}}\|$

Assuming $\kappa = O(1)$, we have

$$\|oldsymbol{\pi}-oldsymbol{\pi}^\star\|_2\lesssim \sqrt{rac{\log n}{n}}\|oldsymbol{\pi}^\star\|_2$$

- vanishing relative error when n goes to infinity
- optimal error up to a log factor

— Negahban, Oh, Shah'16, Chen, Fan, Ma, Wang'19